

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/846,205	05/02/2001	Hoon Lee	11349-P66632US0	7246		
43569	7590 09/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MAYER, BF 1909 K STRE	ROWN, ROWE & MA	W LLP	PERILLA,	PERILLA, JASON M		
	DN, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		-	2611			
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/14/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ST
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>VI</u>
	09/846,205	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Perilla	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will, by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 23 January 2006		
• •	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	for allowance except for formal ma		S
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6 and 8-16</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4-6, and 8-16</u> is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 07 September		objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to			(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority 2. □ Certified copies of the priority 3. □ Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have bee onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (if a) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8-16 are pending in the instant application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed July 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument rests on the claim that "a symbol rate is not analogous to a transmission rate." However, the Examiner insists that the overall transmission rate of any given system is directly determined (in part) according to the symbol rate. That is, in a digital system, each symbol conveys a specified number of bits (i.e. bits/symbol — an a priori quantity) and the rate of transmission of the symbols determines the overall transmission rate. Once the number of bits/symbol and the number of symbols/unit time is determined, the metric of bits/unit time or "transmission rate" is known.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wei (U.S. Pat. No. 5243629 newly cited) in view of the Applicant's Admitted Prior Art ("AAPA"; Specification May 2, 2001, pgs. 1-3, fig. 1).

Regarding claim 1, Wei discloses QAM transmission band splitting means (fig. 1, ref. 105) for distributing (fig. 1, refs. 11, 13, . . . 18, and 22) transmission (TX) data (fig.

1, ref. 5) to a predetermined number of band transmit processing means (fig. 1, refs. 121, 123, . . . 128 and 132; 141, 143, . . . 148, and 152); wherein the band splitting means distributes the TX data to each of a predetermined number of band TX processing means based on predetermined (figs. 5-8, i.e. 4, 8, 12, or 16 bits) and different (i.e. 4, 8, 12, or 16 bit) data transmission rates (col. 3, lines 20-27; col. 4, lines 1-30; *col. 4, lines 11-13*), converting or modulating each of the band transmit processing means outputs to a passband signal (fig. 1, refs. 161, 163, . . . 168, and 172), and synthesizing means (fig. 1, ref. 175) for synthesizing the converted passband signals.

Wei discloses that each of the TX processing means comprises: channel encoders, constellation mappers, and baseband modulators (fig. 1), but does not explicitly disclose that the predetermined number of band TX processing means is for: symbol-encoding the output data of the band splitting means, pulse-shaping, and interpolating the symbol-encoded data. Further, Wei does not disclose TC (Transmission Convergence) sub-layer means for performing frame processing and error correction for the transmission data or digital-to-analog converting and outputting means for converting the synthesized digital TX data to an analog synthesized TX signal to output.

However, the AAPA according to figure 1 teaches an exemplary band transmit processing means for symbol-encoding (fig. 1, ref. 102) the output data of the band splitting means, pulse-shaping (fig. 1, ref. 104), and interpolating (106) the symbol-encoded data. The AAPA further teaches TC (Transmission Convergence) sub-layer means (fig. 1, ref. 100) for performing frame processing and error correction for the

transmission data and digital-to-analog converting and outputting means (fig. 1, ref. 112) for converting the synthesized digital TX data to an analog synthesized TX signal to output. The AAPA teaches that the symbol encoding, pulse shaping, and interpolating allows for a variable symbol rate (pg. 2, lines 7-10) and that the TC sublayer provides the advantage of frame processing and error correction (pg. 1, lines 20-23). One skilled in the art would find it an advantage to utilize the TC sub-layer means and components of the band transmit processing means as taught by the AAPA because they provide an exemplary means to transmit data at various data rates. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to utilize the various components of the band processing means and the TC sub-layer means as taught by the AAPA in the apparatus of Wei because they provide exemplary means for QAM symbol transmission with various data rate flexibility. Furthermore, one skilled in the art would find it obvious that the transmitter of Wei in view of the AAPA would require that the band processing means output is digital-to-analog converted for transmission because an analog signal is required for over the air transmission. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to apply a digital-to-analog converter after the synthesizer as taught by the AAPA in the apparatus of Wei because a digital signal must be converted to analog before it is transmitted over the air.

Regarding claim 2, Wei in view of the AAPA disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, in the apparatus of Wei in view of the AAPA, it is inherent that

the data transmission rate of the TC sub-layer means is equal to sum of data transmission rates of the band TX processing means. The TC sub-layer means may be applied before the band splitting means. Therefore, the TC sub-layer supplies all of the data to the band splitting means and, hence, to all of the band TX processing means.

Regarding claim 4, Wei in view of the AAPA disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Wei in view of the AAPA disclose that the band splitting means distributes the TX data to each of the predetermined number of band processing means in four bit units (figs. 5-8). That is, the QAM symbols are one of 4 bit per symbol, 8, 12, or 16 bits per symbol. Wei does not disclose that the distribution of bits is grouped into bytes. However, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to split the data into units of bytes. Applicant has not disclosed that the division of data into bytes provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected the apparatus of Wei in view of the AAPA to perform equally well with dividing data into four bit segments or eight bit byte segments because the unit of data division would be chosen only according to the desired data rate of the apparatus. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to divide the data into four bit segments or eight bit bytes depending upon the design choice to accommodate the desired data rate of the system.

Regarding claim 5, Wei in view of the AAPA disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Wei in view of the AAPA disclose that the band splitting means

encodes the TX data in four bit units (figs. 5-8). However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to encode the data into four bit segments or eight bit bytes depending upon the design choice to accommodate the desired data rate of the system as applied to claim 4 above.

Regarding claims 6, 8, and 9, the claims are disclosed by Wei in view of the AAPA as applied to claims 1, 4, and 5, respectively, above.

Regarding claims 10, 11, 12, and 13, the claims are disclosed by Wei in view of the AAPA as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, and 5, respectively, above.

Regarding claims 14, 15, and 16, the claims are disclosed by Wei in view of the AAPA as applied to claims 1, 4, and 5, respectively, above.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,205 Page 7

Art Unit: 2611

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Perilla whose telephone number is (571) 272-3055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason M. Perilla September 5, 2006

jmp

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER